




3º LTTA Art-Tech-Creativity 4 ALL
Spain


1. Convenience of the meeting place

85,7% of the participants rated the convenience of the meeting place with the highest level (5) and 14,3% of the participants rated the meeting place with the middle level (3)



2. The information you received before the meeting, intended to facilitate your participation in the meeting


71,4% of the participants rated the information received before the meeting with the highest level (5) and 28,6% of the participants with the second to highest (4)






3. The agenda of the meeting

71,4% of the participants rated the meeting agenda with the highest level (5), 14,3% with the middle level (3) and 14,3% with the second to lowest level (2)



4. Meeting timetables and duration

57,1% rated meeting timetables and duration with the highest level (5), 28,6% with the second to highest level (4) and 14,3% with second to lowest level (2)






Co-funded by
the European Union



Erasmus+


5. Equipment and facilities (overhead projectors, internet, etc.)

85,7% of the participants rated the equipment and facilities with the highest level (5) and 14,3% with the second to highest level (4)



6. The working conditions for the meeting


85,7% of the participants rated the working conditions with the highest level (5) and 14,3% with the second to highest level (4)






7. The management and the development of the work in the meeting

85,7% of the participants rated the management and the development of the work in the meeting with the highest level (5) and 14,3% with the middle level (3)



8. Supporting documentation provided during the meeting


100% of the participants rated the supporting documentation provided during the meeting with the highest level (5).






9. The results reached at the end of the meeting

85,7% of the participants rated the results reached at the end of the meeting with the highest level (5) and 14,3% with the second to lowest (2)



10. Accommodation


85,7% of the participants rated the accommodation with the highest level (5) and 14,3% with the second to highest (4)






11. Leisure, culture activities

100% of the participants rated the leisure and culture activities with the highest level(5)



12. Balance between working sessions / breaks and leisure activities


85,7% of the participants rated the balance between working sessions/breaks and leisure activities with the highest level (5) and 14,3% with the second to lowest level(2)






13. Project partners interaction during the meeting

100% of the participants rated the project partners interaction during the meeting with the highest level (5)



16. Working Sessions (as scheduled in the agenda)

71,4% of the participants rated the working sessions with the highest level (5) and 28,6% with the second to highest level (4)



17. Start of meeting (welcome speech, introduction, practical issues)




Co-funded by
the European Union




Erasmus+

85,7% of the participants rated the start of the meeting with the highest level (5) and 14,3% with the middle level (3)



18. Presentation of activities and discussion of future plans

85,7% of the participants rated the presentation of activities and discussion of future plans with the highest level (5) and 14,3% with the lowest level (1)



19. Presentation of results which we, as project partner, have to achieve




Co-funded by
the European Union




Erasmus+

85,7% of the participants rated the presentation of activities and discussion of future plans with the highest level (5) and 14,3% with the lowest level (1)



20. Discussions with partners

85,7% of the participants rated the discussions with partners with the highest level (5) and 14,3% with the second to lowest (2)



21. Clarity of each work package and its development




Co-funded by
the European Union



Erasmus+

85,7% of the participants rated the discussions with partners with the highest level (5) and 14,3% with the lowest level (2)



22. Comments from partners. Conclusions.

a. Ottimo in ogni aspetto.

Excellent meeting

c. I enjoyed the aspects of the trip but I feel as though it wasn't long enough, and that 2 days only isn't enough.

d. Rich culture

23. Positive aspects of the meeting – strengths (Please write, what do you think are the positive aspects of the meeting – strengths)

a. Good ambient.

Tutto ottimo

c. Eccellente organisation; activities closely related to the project objectives and very interesting

d. Excellent organization and excellent meeting

e. I enjoyed the everything we done and I am very appreciative of the hospitality of our hosts

f. Workshop participation - embracing traditional art

g. everything was amazing



Co-funded by
the European Union



Erasmus+

24. Aspects that could be improved, or weaknesses of the training (Please, write, in your opinion, what are the aspects that could be improved, or weaknesses of the meeting)

- a. nothing
- b. Tutto ottimo
- c. Nothing
- d. Nothing
- e. I think having a longer schedule throughout the week would improve the meeting. More time to actually sit down and discuss our project and future events
- f. Planning of program dates / duration
- g. /

25. Improvement proposals and suggestions for future trainings (Please, write your proposals for the improvement of the project and suggestions for future)

- a. Nothing
- b. nothing
- c. Ottimo
- d. As above
- e. None
- f. /

26. Improvement proposals and suggestions for future trainings (Please, write your proposals for the improvement of the project and suggestions for future)

- a. Nothing
- b. nothing
- c. Ottimo
- d. As above.
- None
- f. /